Outliers classification Version 2 WG 14

1 Motivation

With the rise of large spectroscopic surveys, several methods of outliers detection (e.g., Tomasella et al. 2010) have been developed. *Unexpected* outliers detection can proceed, for example, through principal component analysis or morphological classification (e.g., Matijevič et al. 2012), and need to be carefully calibrated with template spectra of *known* outliers. *Expected* outliers, in turn, can be efficiently detected and classified with well-tuned spectral indices.

It has to be kept in mind that the outliers that will be detected within the GES will be used by people with very different expertise, and for very different purposes. Therefore, when trying to define a classification scheme for outliers, we should not (only) consider our specific needs, but imagine what information would be at the same time useful, exact and exhaustive, for GES-consortium users, but also (especially) for people external to the GES consortium, not necessarily aware of internal issues that have been extensively discussed within the GES consortium.

It is primarily the task of WG14 to flag outliers. However, it appeared that many WG are flagging various types of outliers but with their own naming convention. It seems desirable to use from the beginning of the data release procedure a common dictionary, as described in the present document.

2 GES data

UVES and GIRAFFE spectra are available in different settings, as described on the GES wiki DR pages (http://great.ast.cam.ac.uk/GESwiki/GeSDR1). In brief, UVES setting 580 (4768-5801Å and 5822-6830Å) is mainly used, while many different settings (HR10 5334-5611Å, HR15 6444-6816Å, HR21 8475-8982Å, + HR 3, 5, 6, 14, ...) are used for GIRAFFE. Photometric information is available in the multi-extension FITS files (in rough numbers, for DR1: JHK 2MASS (90%), LHK VHS (33%), SDSS ugriz (20%), APASS bygri (15%), UKIDSS JHK (11%)).

3 Stellar classification

In a general framework, several types of classification can be considered, in particular:

• Phenomenological classification: Phenomenological classification is purely based on observational facts. It is, of course, strongly connected to Morgan-Keenan (MK) spectral classification, but may accept additional pieces of information (e.g. rotation, photometry) and omit others. Phenomenological classification is not directly related to the evolutionary status of objects.

- Spectral classification: We shall consider here the by far most widely used, MK classification scheme, relying on an inductive (bottom-up) logic, constructing a system (made of *classes*) from specific examples (*specimens*), allowing for interpolation between specimens. As reviewed in Gray & Corbally (2009), MK classification is only based on spectroscopic information, and ignores external information such as photometry or theory.
- Evolutionary classification: Assigning an evolutionary stage to a series of spectroscopic or photometric indicators implies an interpretation, based on theories and models, and inevitably subject to changes. The MK classification scheme durability lies precisely on the fact that it is theory-, interpretation- and calibration-independent.

4 Proposed GES outliers classification

Given the data available for GES objects, it appears that, at least in specific cases, spectral and photometric information can be combined to strengthen the diagnostic. Since outliers (i.e., unusual stars) are concerned, a precise MK classification might be difficult to assign to all objects. Moreover, because of the restricted spectral ranges and medium resolution of GIRAFFE settings, MK classification is anticipated to be extremely difficult for GIRAFFE spectra.

Therefore, a compromise for outliers classification would be:

- to use both a phenomenological classification and, when/if possible, a MK-like classification.
- to combine information from different sources when available (spectroscopic, photometric, literature).

The following scheme is proposed:

Stars are assigned a peculiarity flag, built from the juxtaposition of a peculiarity index XXXX (between 0000 and 9999) and 1 or 2 confidence flag letter (Z):

- A=probable
- B=possible
- C=tentative
- D=preliminary (WG14 use only)

XXXXZ = PeculiarityFlag = PeculiarityInteger | ConfidenceFlagLetter

Several PeculiarityFlags can be attached to a single object.

For example: 2356B, 4050B, 1011A, 1013A

would flag a possible symbiotic star, possible baryum star, and with clear H_{α} emission.

Flag D would be used by WG14 only, to trace taggingss coming from other WG, before home-genisation.

A tentative list of peculiarity integers is displayed in Appendix 1, taking into account received feedback (by May 2013).

5 Tentative outliers tagging timescale

WG14 develops its own tools to tag outliers. However, it seems desirable to interact during a DR processing with other WG, for example as described in the following timetable:

iDR number
Reprocessed spectra, RV, photometry until:
released to WG on:
First pass:
Outliers homogenisation 1 (rotation, binarity,)
Astrophysical parameters:
Abundance determinations:
Outliers homogenisation 2
Homogenisation:
Final outliers homogenisation
Parameters released by Edinburgh
to Survey Co-Is

References

Gray, R. O. & Corbally, J., C. 2009, Stellar Spectral Classification

Matijevič, G., Zwitter, T., Bienaymé, O., et al. 2012, ApJS, 200, 14

Tomasella, L., Munari, U., & Zwitter, T. 2010, AJ, 140, 1758

Appendix 1: Outliers dictionnary

```
Confidence flag:
A=probable
B=possible
C=tentative
(D=preliminary, WG-14 only)
0000 no tested anomaly detected
PECULI flag : PHENOMENOLOGICAL CLASSIFICATION: 1000-2999
1000 Emission-line stars
  1ZZI emission line of element with atomic number Z=ZZ (0-99) and
       ionization level I (spectroscopic notation, 1-9, 1=neutral).
       (from 1011 to 1999). Ex: 1021: He I emission
  1010 Suspiscion that (Halpha) emission lines are extrinsic rather than intrinsic
       (e.g. from HII region in the line of sight)
  1013 In particular: Balmer Halpha
  1014 In particular: Balmer Hbeta
  1015 In particular: Paschen
2000 Binaries
             Stars with large radial velocity variations, indicating either large
  2005:
             jitter or binary motion
  2010: SB1: Stars with radial velocity variations larger than expected jitter
             for its type, indicating probable binary motion
  2020 SBn,n>=2
  2030 SBn,n>=3
  2040 SBn,n>=4
2100 Abnormal rotators
2300 Abnormally strong absorption lines
  23ZZ enhanced line of element with atomic number Z=ZZ (0-99)
       (from 2301 to 2399). Ex: 2303: abnormally strong Li line
2400 Abnormally strong molecular bands
  2412 enhanced MgH
  2414 enhanced SiH
  2420 enhanced CaH
  2422 enhanced TiO
  2423 enhanced VO
  2426 enhanced FeH
  2440 enhanced ZrO
  2457 enhanced LaO
  2462 enhanced 12CH
  2463 enhanced 13CH
  2472 enhanced 12C12C
  2473 enhanced 13C13C
  2482 enhanced 12CN
  2483 enhanced 13CN
```

REMARK flag: SPECTRAL CLASSIFICATION: 3000-8999 3000 C/O < 1 stars3010 M 3020 dwarf M 3030 Ba 3040 dwarf Ba 3050 S 3100 C/O >=1 stars 3110 N 3120 J 3130 R 3140 SC 3150 CH 3160 C-hydrogen -deficient 3170 strong-N 3180 weak-G 3190 Carbon-enriched-metal-poor (CEMP) CEMP-no 3200 CEMP-r 3210 CEMP-s 3220 dwarf C 3300 Non C-enriched, metal-poor stars (general) 3300 r-I 3310 r-II 3320 UMP stars (i.e., [Fe/H] < -4.0) 4000 Others 4010 Am 4011 Fm 4020 Ap 4030 SrCrEu 4040 lambda Boo 4050 Symbiotics 4060 Novae (classical, dwarf, ...) 4070 Cataclysmic variables 4080 Flare stars 4090 BY Dra 4100 RS CVn 4110 FK com 4120 R CrB 4130 T Tauri 4140 weak T Tauri 4150 HAeBe 4160 FU Ori 4170 Cepheids 4200 Post AGB, pre-PN 4210 RV Tauri 4300 White dwarfs TECH flag: 9000 ANALYSIS PROBLEMS OR UNKNOWN SPECTRA

9010 Too low SNR to allow classification 9020 Radial velocity determination problem or discrepant radial velocities 9030 Data reduction issues 9040 Incompatibility between spectroscopy and photometry 9100 Unknown spectrum
